

Milieudefensie et al. v. Royal Dutch Shell

Case Overview

Milieudefensie et al. v. Royal Dutch Shell (Netherlands)

case number: C/09/571932 / HA ZA 19-379 (District Court of The Hague).

a. Description:

This case was brought by environmental groups (including farmers and agricultural workers impacted by climate change) against Shell, alleging that the company's operations contributed to climate change and violated human rights due to environmental harm. The plaintiffs argued that Shell's contribution to global warming endangered communities worldwide, including agricultural regions vulnerable to extreme weather.

b. Location and Date:

- Location of procedures: The Hague, Netherlands
- The case was filed in April 2019, with a ruling given in May 2021.

Reflection questions

- How transparent are you with your stakeholders about the impact of your daily tasks to the company's short- and long-term goals?
- Do you have an evaluation system in place for the goals of your business decisions (long term vs. short term)?
- How do you handle situations where there is a conflict between profitability and sustainability commitments?
- If a conflict of interest arises, how can you address it openly and ethically?
- Are you willing to be transparent about errors and take steps to correct them rather than covering them up?

Impact

- 1. **Outcome:** In a landmark ruling, the Court ordered Shell to reduce its CO2 emissions by 45% by 2030. This was a historic decision, holding a private corporation legally responsible for its impacts on climate change.
- 2. **Judgements/Penalties:** Order of CO2 emissions reduction by 45% by 2030 The court's decision may force Shell to limit fossil fuel production and forgo new investments. Shell appealed the ruling in 2022, but the plaintiffs warned that failure to act could expose Shell's board to personal liability.



Integrity Issue

Specific concern:

- The case raises questions about whether and to what extent multinational corporations like Shell can be held responsible for the global environmental harm caused by their operations. Shell was argued to contribute significantly to climate change, which conflicts with its public image and claims of supporting climate action.
- One major integrity issue is the mismatch between Shell's climate promises and its actual environmental actions. The court ruled that Shell's plans and policies were insufficiently aligned with the Paris Agreement's 1.5°C goal, calling into question the company's sincerity in addressing climate change.
- One of the integrity concerns in this case involved Shell's historical contributions to climate change. While the court ruling focused on future emission reductions, it left unresolved the question of responsibility for past emissions and the damage already caused.

Related SDGs:

- SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production The environmental impact of Shell's actions highlights concerns about corporate transparency, sustainable business practices, and responsible production.
- SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being: Shell's emissions contribute to severe health risks, affecting SDG 3, which aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all.
- SDG 13: Climate Change

Shell, as a major oil and gas company, contributes substantially to global GHG emissions, especially carbon dioxide (CO_2) and methane. These emissions are among the main drivers of global warming, increasing atmospheric temperatures and disrupting climate systems.

Public Response

The court's ruling highlighted a conflict between shareholder interests and public interests. Shell's duty to maximize shareholder returns was brought into tension with its social responsibility, raising concerns about how businesses should balance these often-conflicting goals with integrity.

Learnings:

Key takeaways:

- Businesses must set specific, measurable, and actionable targets for reducing their carbon footprint or similar sustainability goals. However, to avoid greenwashing accusations, companies need to align their efforts with said promises.
- Misrepresenting or overstating sustainability efforts can lead to reputational damage and legal risks.
- Ignoring environmental and social responsibilities can lead to litigation and reputational harm.
- Lack of clarity in interim goals reduces transparency.



Impact on sustainability:

- Corporations must take concrete, measurable actions to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. It is not enough to make long-term, vague commitments. Companies must set clear interim targets that lead to the achievement of the long-term goals.
- Companies are expected to innovate and invest more heavily in clean energy technologies and reduce their dependence on non-renewable energy sources.
- The case demonstrates that economic growth should no longer be based on activities that worsen climate change or degrade the environment.
- Seemingly small mistakes such as lack of transparency affect business operations on the long run. Mindfulness needs to be attained on the effects of company' actions on vulnerable communities and taking responsibility for mitigating those impacts.

Applying Learnings in Your Organization

- Integrate the SMART Model (specific, measurable, accurate, realistic and timely feasible) for setting goals into your core business strategy and continuously track progress to ensure transparency for both interim, and long-term targets.
- Avoid making false claims about the environmental impact of your company' actions. Communicate transparently with all stakeholders to uphold integrity.
- Establish clear consequences for unethical behavior, such as concealing mistakes or failing to be transparent.
- Provide employees with frameworks that encourage them to evaluate decisions and accomplished tasks based on ethical and integrity considerations.
- Create employee recognition programs that specifically reward transparency, accountability, and ethical decision-making. Recognizing those who consistently act with integrity reinforces the importance of these behaviors.

References

Case information:

• <u>Milieudefensie et al. v. Royal Dutch Shell plc. - Climate Change Litigation</u> (climatecasechart.com)

Journal article:

• Friends of the Earth Netherlands (Milieudefensie) v Royal Dutch Shell in: Chinese Journal of Environmental Law Volume 5 Issue 2 (2021) (brill.com)

Video:

o Shell stopt met olie?! (youtube.com)

International news:

Shell appeal against landmark climate ruling kicks off in Dutch court (ft.com)